

Summary of Submissions

Comments / Suggestions	Council response	Changes to document
<p>Submission 1:</p> <p>Please include a way to minimise bird feeding and there is regular littering of the area. Better deterrence is required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inhibiting the feeding of birds is referenced under 2.7, Current Recreational Usage; 3.4, Pressures and opportunities; and Action List item 0.6, Install signage to inhibit the feeding of waterbirds. - Additional bins and a litter education program is referenced under 3.12.2, Seating and bins; 3.18 Maintenance; and under Action List items 1.5 and 1.6. - Other means of deterrence have been investigated however Council relies predominantly on passive behavioural deterrents such as signage due to enforcement limitations. 	<p>No change is recommended for Submission 1.</p>
<p>Submission 2:</p> <p>Any chance a kids water park can be squeezed in?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A junior water play item for toddler aged children is referenced under Action List item 1.9, Investigate junior play / water play item or landscape feature to the open space area south of the playground. - Larger water play facilities such as water parks will be considered as part of the Open Space and Recreation Strategy which is currently being prepared, to ensure a consistent approach to the implementation of recreational infrastructure across the local government area. 	<p>No change is recommended for Submission 2.</p>

Comments / Suggestions	Council response	Changes to document
<p>Submission 3:</p> <p>The parking area is not able to cope with the amount of cars when there is a big event there. You cannot move in the surrounding streets. The streets are very narrow and won't allow for 2 cars to even pass each other resulting in parking on footpaths and issuing of parking fines.</p> <p>Our streets are full of cars already as Council allows for granny flats and duplexes to be built and they don't have off street parking. Streets are full of cars before an event even starts.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current parking limitations and issues affecting local streets are referenced under Section 3.14, Events; and Action item 0.27, Investigate potential for Holroyd Sportsground to be used for support parking during major events. - The plan is limited in its scope to properly address local car parking issues. Parking for property development is structured through Council's LEP and DCP's in accordance with applicable legislation. 	<p>No change is recommended for Submission 3.</p>
<p>Submission 4:</p> <p>Junior playground equipment needs to be updated. Nice to incorporate natural elements e.g. with wood and other natural elements to create instruments, a sandpit, water feature etc. Toddlers are unable to climb or reach most equipment. Needs more shade in hotter months and possible gated enclosure.</p> <p>Gravel pathways are difficult for people with disabilities, prams and bikes. A concrete path would be ideal.</p> <p>Noise pollution made by wedding photography entourages. Loud motor vehicles and motorbikes that parade along Brickworks Drive is a nuisance to residents. This needs to be policed.</p> <p>There are birds nesting in the brickworks shelters and the picnic tables underneath are not the best place to sit as there are bird droppings everywhere. This should be maintained. The</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Upgrade of the junior playground is referenced under 3.12.3, Playgrounds; and Action List items 1.8, Implement upgrading of junior accessible playspace as a destination accessible playspace, and 1.9, Investigate junior play / water play item or landscape feature (for toddler aged children) to the open space area south of the playground. - The junior playground area is adequately shaded with 2 shade structures however the plan recognised the diminished planting amenity since the establishment of the facility and recommends to provide native screen planting to the perimeter of the playspace. - As clarified at the Community Stakeholder Forum, Council's position is to not provide fully enclosed playground facilities in order to deter children who would otherwise be in need of care from being left unsupervised. The intention of the partial enclosure around the accessible playground is to assist the 	<p>No change is recommended for Submission 4.</p>

Comments / Suggestions	Council response	Changes to document
<p>public needs to stop throwing bread into the lake. The problem is getting worse.</p>	<p>carers of children with autism spectrum disorder.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Upgrade of the pathway network is referenced in 2.3.2, Access material and finishes; 3.7.1, Recreational Access; and Action List item 3.4' Implement staged pathway network upgrade from gravel to asphalt with brick edging. - Issues in relation to conflicts arising by informal use for photography is referenced in 3.4, Pressures and opportunities; and Action item 0.18, Install signage to inhibit unregulated photography to the heritage brickworks precinct. - Applicable maintenance categories are referenced under 2.12, Maintenance identify Premium Parks and Natural Area Service Levels. - Inhibiting the feeding of birds is referenced under 2.7, Current Recreational Usage; 3.4, Pressures and opportunities; and Action List item 0.6, Install signage to inhibit the feeding of waterbirds. 	
<p>Submission 5:</p> <p>As raised during the community workshop, management of the gardens needs to consider the welfare of the animals in and around the pond area. On a number of occasions I have been left with no option but to intervene when persons (unsupervised youth) have acted in a manner that can only be regarded as animal cruelty. There needs to be a ranger or someone onsite to protect the welfare of the animals/birds. I reiterate my firm view that given the behaviour I have witnessed, the increasing density of residential apartments, cars and people coming into contact with this</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Council's Regulatory and Technical department have advised that parenting matters are not a point of enforcement and that animal welfare issues can be addressed by liaising with the RSPCA or NSW Police. - The heritage brichworks area is adequately protected with perimeter fencing and canopy shelters installed around primary structures which provide a balance between protection and display. Maintenance is informed by remediation reports which is referenced under 3.9, Goodlet and Smith 	<p>No change is recommended for Submission 5.</p>

Comments / Suggestions	Council response	Changes to document
<p>pond area, some form of Council supervision, ranger or security is required.</p> <p>There is also the other major concern of protecting the heritage brickworks. The last thing the pond area needs is close proximity with a water park, which will inevitably lead to unsupervised flow into the pond area. I have intervened when unsupervised youth have removed bricks from the heritage brickworks to throw at the ducks and either through supervision, ranger or security, protect the heritage brickworks site from further erosion.</p>	<p>Brickworks precinct and implemented under Action List item 0.17, Maintain Brickwork structures in accordance with Maintenance Plan by Cardno 2011.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Action List item 1.9 includes the investigation of a junior water play item for toddler aged children as opposed to a water park, which would not be expected to exacerbate the behaviour of unsupervised youth. 	
<p>Submission 6:</p> <p>The proposed location of the dog off-leash facility is currently the only space which provides a contemplative and quiet space surrounded by trees and tranquility. The proposed developments in the park will further reduce the current quieter spaces in the park. My suggestion is that this area of the park be maintained as it is now so that there is a place to escape the from the hurly-burly to contemplate the world surrounded by the beauty of nature. Suggest an dog off-leash facility be considered for the under utilised green space nearby between Robert St and the M4 Motorway.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The proposition is merited due to the reasonable expectation that the proposed facility would be a cause of conflict and an over utilisation of the limited open space area. A dog off-leash facility at Kurung Rsv (between Robert St and the M4 Motorway) would make good use of an equally local and otherwise limited open space resource, as it has steep grades and limited potential for other types of facilities. 	<p>Change is recommended for Submission 6.</p> <p>It is recommended that a minor amendment is made to remove the references in relation to a dog off-leash facility and that this facility is alternatively considered for implementation at Kurung Reserve as part of a future capital works program.</p>